

UNITED STA: DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

(ML

	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	ING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTY, DOCKET NO.		Y, DOCKET NO.			
	08/945	,667 01/	28/98 HORROBIN		D	34237/170943		
					EXA	MINER		
			HM12/0818					
	JOHN S	PRATT	111127 0010		HIGEL,F	·		
	KILPATRICK STOCKTON				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•		EACHTREE S	TREET		1610	11		
	SUITE :	2800 A GA 30309	-4530		1613			
	ne men	n dir cocco	4000	DATE	MAILED:	08/18/99		
	This is a communication COMMISSIONER OF PA	from the examiner in TENTS AND TRAD	charge of your application. MARKS					
:			OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY					
Æ	Responsive to commu	inication(s) filed or	July 28, 1989					
۶	This action is FINAL.	•						
74	_			to the		leand in		
L	 Since this application accordance with the p 	is in condition for a tractice under Ex o	allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as externation (213) page 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	to me	merits is ci	osea III		
			. .		AL (-) AL:-			
A	shortened statutory peri	iod for response to the mailing date of	this action is set to expire	mon eriod fo	th(s), or thirl r response v	y days, viil cause		
th	e application to become	abandoned. (35 t	J.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained u	nder th	e provisions	of 37 CFR		
1.	136(a).							
D	isposition of Claims		04					
	Claim(s)		27 70 97			in the application.		
	Of the above, claim(s))	57 70 92	_is/are		om consideration.		
-	Ctaim(s)	071	5 57 85 A. 40 83			/are allowed.		
<u> </u>	Claim(s)	2/1	056, 92, AND 93			/are rejected. e objected to.		
늗	Claim(s)		are subject	t to res		ection requirement.		
_			,			•		
A	pplication Papers							
	See the attached Not	ice of Draftspersor	's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.					
Ē	The drawing(s) filed o	n	is/are objected to b			_		
	The proposed drawing	g correction, filed	on	is 🗌	approved (disapproved.		
, [The specification is of	•						
' L	The oath or declaration	on is objected to b	the Examiner.					
P	riority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119						
	Acknowledgment is n	nade of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).					
	All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been							
	received.							
			Code/Serial Number)	·				
	received in this n	ational stage appl	ication from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).				
	*Certified copies not re	ceived:				·		
	Acknowledgment is n	nade of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
A	attachment(s)				-			
0	Notice of Reference	Cited, PTO-892						
9	Information Disclosur	re Statement(s), P	TO-1449, Paper No(s)					
7	3 ☐ Interview Summary, I		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
-	Notice of Draftperson		Roview PTO-948					
	Notice of Informal Pa	•						

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

Art Unit: 1613

None Receipt is acknowledged of the information disclosure statement filed July 26, 1999, which has been entered in the file.

Claims 57 to 92 stand withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention, the requirement having been traversed in Paper No. 9.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 27 to 56) in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that contrary to the Examiner's assertion, the relationship between Group I and Group II is not one of intermediate-final product and compounds, compositions - methods of use and also no undue burden on the Examiner has been established. This is not found persuasive because although applicant is correct in that the relationship between Group I and Group II is not intermediate-final product but compoundsmethod of use, the restriction is still proper if it can be shown it that the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. The compounds of Group I can be used in materially different process such being used as germicides, viral agents and platelet aggregation inhibitors. Also, since the inventions have different classification, divergent subject matter and the search for either one of the Groups is not required for the other, an undue burden on the Examiner has been established.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The requirement for an election of species is withdrawn.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1613

Claims 27 to 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for failing to properly define the invention. The terms and expressions "a compound comprising," "the residue of a nutrient drug, or other bioactive compound," "other dysfunctional acid linking moiety," "residue," "nutrient," "drug," "other bioactive component," "cellular, intracellular or "form a part of the skin or blood-brain barrier," "the residue of a drug, vitamin amino acid, antioxidant, or other bioactive compound," "antioxidant," "amino acid," "vitamin," "or derivatives thereof," "a residue of a compound selected from," "amino acids, adenylsuccinate ... insulin sensitizing agent...or bacteriochlorin-based drug," "or derivative thereof," and "the residue of..." render the claims indefinite and based on an inadequate or insufficient disclosure by placing no definite limits or boundaries on the claims. Applicants' arguments are not deemed persuasive. What is the meaning of "residue," "residues," "derivative," and "derivatives?" Applicants do not define these terms anywhere in the specification.

Claims 27 to 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Barsky et al or Alsop et al or British Patents 1,493,098 or 1,529,062 or 2,161,477 or European Patents 0,116,114 or JP 57-067,511 or Breusch et al, cited by applicants.

Claims 27 to 33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by British Patent 1,529,062 or European Patents 056,189 or 0,222,155 or 0,393,920, cited by applicant.

Claims 27 to 29, 32, 33, 36-38, 93 and 94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barsky et al or Alsop et al or Goldblatt et al to Klemann et al or British Patents

Art Unit: 1613

1,293,277 or 1,493,098 or 1,529,062 or 1,356,197 or 2,167,477 or European Patents 0,056,189 or 0,161,114 or 0,246,540 or 0,405,873 or JP 57-067,511 or Breush et al, cited by applicants.

The references disclose homologs, isomers or close structural analogs of the claimed compounds. The claimed compounds are so closely related structurally to the claimed compounds as to be structurally obvious therefrom in the absence of any unobvious or unexpected properties especially since one of ordinary skill in the art would expect that compounds so closely related structurally would have the same or essentially the same properties.

Claims 27 to 35 and 39 to 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schafer or British Patent 1,529,062 or European Patents 0,184,058 or 0,222,155 or 0,246,540 or 0,321,128 or 0,313,920 or 0,405,873, cited by applicants.

The references disclose compounds which are homologs, isomers or close structural analogs of the claimed compounds. The claimed compounds would be obvious from those of the references for the same reasons as those given supra in the rejection on this same grounds of claims over prior art immediately supra.

No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 08/945,667 Page 5

Art Unit: 1613

- 40 mg

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Floyd D. Higel whose telephone number is (703) 308-4530. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter, can be reached on (703) 308-4532. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7921.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

F. Higel; CV

8/13/99

PATENT PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 123 /6 /3